



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/783,135	02/15/2001	Yang-lim Choi	Q60575	1486	
7590 05/18/2004			EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			HIRL, JOSEPH P		
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 20037-3213		DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Advisory Action	09/783,135	CHOI ET AL.	(
, and any model.	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph P. Hirl	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a	I
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office fimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. RE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate exte unt of the fee. The appropriate exte originally set in the final Office action	nsion ension n: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	· ·		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•		the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
$3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendme	∍nt
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place th	е
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	of the second		
Supervisory	ny Knight Patent Examiner up 3600	051102	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Following to the Advisory Action of May 3, 2004, it is noted by inspection of the illustration of page 1 of the Intermediate Value Theorem that while f(x) is stated as being continuous, in actual fact it is discontinuous at the approximate points of "a" minus, "a" plus and also at the point of "a" plus one tic; df/dx is not defined at these points.

As noted in the prior office action, establishing G(x) as a continuous function has no impact on the functionality of f(a).

The Examiner considers the applicants writings concerning the Intermediate Value Theorem to be a manifestation of the Examiner's concern that the specification simply does not provide sufficient instruction to allow one of ordinary skill in the art to replicate the invention without undue experimentation.

